(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 137 /~~2006.~~ 2007. **SINGLE BENCH**

PETITIONER: M/s Kanker Roadways (registered partnership firm) Through Managing partner Preetam Singh Garcha, S/o Late Jogendar Singh Garcha, aged about 57 years, residence of Civil Line, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 3086/06
Presented by Shri Anshuman
dated 24/6/06

VERSUS

Respondents:
1. State of Chhattisgarh, through C.C.
2 State Transport Appellate Tribunal, Raipur
3. R.T.A., Chhattisgarh. at Raipur,
4. Secretary, R.T.A., Jagdalpur.
5. Manish Travels, Properiotor Manish Jain, residence of Bus Stand, Durg, Chhattisgarh.

RETYPED COPY
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR
26/6/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-78

(26)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(C) 137 **सन् 200**7

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

01.02.2007

Shri A.M. Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, learned G.A. for the State/respondent.

This petition is directed against the order dated 20th March 2006 passed in Revision No. 384/04 whereby the application of the respondent for condoning delay in filing the revision has been allowed.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusal of the impugned order, I am of the considered opinion that delay in filing the revision has been condoned by the Court below after assigning reasons, as such there is no jurisdictional error in passing the impugned order which warrants interference by this Court while exercising jurisdiction vested under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Accordingly, the petition deserves to be dismissed at the admission stage itself and is hereby dismissed.

Sd/-
Dhirendra Mishra
Judge

kkm

P.T.O.